

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,968	11/06/2001		Carlos Miguel Carcagno	1909.0040002	7301	
	7590 07/13/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Sterne Kessle	r Gold	stein & Fox	KAUSHAL, SUMESH			
Suite 600 1100 New York Avenue NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, 1	OC 200	005-3934	1633			

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/830,968	CARCAGNO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D.	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2005.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This							
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-20 is/are rejected. 							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/21/04 5 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response filed on 4/21/05 has been acknowledged.

Claim 14 is canceled

Claims 15-20 are newly filed.

Claims 1-13 and 15-20 are pending and are examined in this office action.

Applicants are required to follow Amendment Practice under revised 37 CFR §1.121. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The references cited herein are of record in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/21/05 has been entered.

Art Unit: 1633

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-3, 6 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jixian et al (Bull Acad. Mil. Med. Sci. 21(4):244-246, 1997, English translation provided).

The instant claims are drawn to a method for obtaining human erythropoietin by culturing mammalian cells, which express recombinant human erythropoietin in a culture medium comprising insulin. The instant claims are further drawn to mammalian cells selected from the group comprising CHO, COS, BHK, Namalwa, and HeLa. The claims are further drawn to the method wherein the culture medium comprises fetal calffree media. The claims are further drawn to a culture media consitig of DMEM, HAM12, NaHCO3, sugars, ethanolamine, sodium pyruvate and insulin

Jixian et al teaches production of recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEPO) using CHO cells in a serum free media (SFM-p) in the presence of insulin (see abstract). Regarding claim 1-3 specifically the cited art teaches genetically engineered mammalian cells (CHO cells), which express recombinant human erythropoietin (page 2, para. 1.1). Regarding claim 6 the cited art teaches culturing of rHuEPO producing cells in a fetal calf serum-free media designated as SFM-p (page 2; page 4, para. 2.1; page 5 para. 2.2, table-3). The cited art further teaches that the SFM-p comprises various additives, which include Se, Ethanolamine, vitamins, peptone, insulin, transferin and some cytokines added in DMEM and F12 medium (abstract, page 2, para. 1.2). In addition the cited art teaches that SFM-p promotes growth and proliferation of rHuEPO

expressing CHO cells, which resulted in the production of rHuEPO in culture media. In addition the culture media comprising DMEM and F12 (1:1) obtained from GIBCO-BRL containing the claimed media components NaHCO₃, sugars, ethanolamine sodium pyruvate and various amino acids (see Jixian page 2 sec 1.2 and *GIBO-BRL Product reference Guide Cell Culture media Sec 1.1997-1998*). Thus the cited art clearly anticipate the invention as claimed.

Response to arguments

The applicant argues that in order for the Jixian reference to anticipate the claimed invention, it must describe each and every limitation of Applicants' claimed invention such that the subject matter would be recognized by one skilled in the art.

Jixian clearly fails to do so. The applicant argues that the medium as claimed in the instant application does not include, transferrin, yeast extract or casein hydrolysate as additives, therefore Jixian fails to teach the claimed culture medium.

However, applicant's argumetns are found NOT persuasive because Jixian clearly teaches a culture media comprising DMEM and F12 (1:1) obtained from GIBCO-BRL containing the claimed media components NaHCO₃, sugars, ethanolamine sodium pyruvate and various amino acids (see Jixian page 2 sec 1.2 and *GIBO-BRL Product reference Guide Cell Culture media Sec 1.1997-1998*).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., absence of transferrin, yeast extract or casein hydrolysate as additives) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the

Art Unit: 1633

specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In addition it is well settled that routine optimization is not patentable, even if it results in significant improvements over the prior art. In support of this position, attention is directed to the decision in In re Aller, Lacey, and Hall, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955): Normally, it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in concentration, or in both, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely in degree from the results of the prior art. In re Dreyfus, 22 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 830, 73 F.2d 931, 24 USPQ 52; In re Waite et al., 35 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1117, 168 F.2d 104, 77 USPQ 586. Such ranges are termed "critical" ranges. and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality. In re Swenson et al., 30 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 809, 132 F.2d 1020, 56 USPQ 372; In re Scherl, 33 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1193, 156 F.2d 72, 70 USPQ 204. However, even though applicant's modification results in great improvement and utility over the prior art, it may still not be patentable if the modification was within the capabilities of one skilled in the art. In re-Sola, 22 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1313, 77 F.2d 627, 25 USPQ 433; In re Normann et al., 32 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1248, 150 F.2d 708, 66 USPQ 308; In re Irmscher, 32 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1259, 150 F.2d 705, 66 USPQ 314. More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Swain et al., 33 C.C.P.A.

Art Unit: 1633

(Patents) 1250, 156 F.2d 239, 70 USPQ 412; Minnesota Mining and Mfg. Co. v. Coe, 69 App. D.C. 217, 99 F.2d 986, 38 USPQ 213; Allen et al. v. Coe, 77 App. D. C. 324, 135 F.2d 11, 57 USPQ 136. (Emphasis added). Thus the cited art clearly anticipate the invention as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 4-5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jixian et al (Bull Acad. Mil. Med. Sci. 21(4):244-246, 1997) as applied to claims 1-3 and 6 above, and further in view of Koch at al (EP 0513738 A2, 11/19/1992, *English translation provided*).

Claims 4-5 and 13 are drawn to method for obtaining human erythropoietin by culturing mammalian cells, which express recombinant human erythropoietin in a culture medium comprising insulin, wherein the culture media comprises insulin in the range of 1-20 mg of insulin per liter of culture media.

Jixian et al teaches production of recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEPO) using CHO cells in a serum free media (SFM-p) in the presence of insulin (see abstract). Regarding claim 1-3 specifically the cited art teaches genetically engineered mammalian cells (CHO cells), which express recombinant human erythropoietin (page 2, para. 1.1). Regarding claim 6 the cited art teaches culturing of rHuEPO producing cells in a fetal calf serum-free media designated as SFM-p (page 2; page 4, para. 2.1; page 5 para. 2.2, table-3). The cited art further teaches that the SFM-p comprises

Art Unit: 1633

various additives which include Se, Ethanolamine, vitamins, peptone, insulin, transferin and some cytokines added in DMEM and F12 medium (abstract, page 2, para. 1.2). In addition the cited art teaches that SFM-p promotes growth and proliferation of rHuEPO expressing CHO cells, which resulted in the production of rHuEPO in culture media.

Even though Jixian teaches production of recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEPO) using CHO cells in a serum free media (SFM-p) in the presence of insulin, the reference does not specifically teaches that insulin concentration is in the range of 1-20 mg of insulin per liter of culture media.

Koch et al teaches a serum-free culture medium containing insulin for the cultivation of mammalian cells, especially the genetically engineered CHO cells to produce recombinant erythropoietin (page 1). Regarding claims 4-5, the cited art teaches that the serum-free media contains recombinant insulin in the range of 0.1-20 mg/L (page 2 para. 4-6, page 3 para. 2). The cited art further teaches serum free media that comprises recombinant insulin at the concentration of 5mg/L, which is well with in the range of insulin concentration as claimed (i.e. 1-20mg/L) see page 4 para. 7, table-1; page 6). The cited art further teaches production of erythropoietin in the culture medium by cultivating genetically engineered CHO (encoding EPO), in a serum free culture media containing insulin (page 3, para.3; page4 para.3; page 6).

Thus it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of filing to modify the teaching of Jixian by incorporating the SFM-p with insulin in the range of 1-20mg/L in view of Koch. One would have been motivated to do so because incorporation of insulin in the range of 1-20mg/L in serum free media is close to

Art Unit: 1633

cultivation conditions when serum is used. One would have a reasonable expectation of success to produce rHuEPO in CHO using serum free media containing insulin in the range of 1-20mg/L because the cited prior clearly teaches that CHO cells proliferate and produce recombinant EPO under such conditions (see Koch fig-1). Thus the invention as claimed is *prima facie* obvious in view of cited prior art of record.

Response to arguments

The applicant argues that there is no suggestion or motivation in Jixian or Koch to combine the teachings to obtain applicants' invention. The applicant argues that even assuming, arguendo, that such a suggestion or motivation to combine the references is present, there would be no expectation of success in generating the claimed invention and all of the claim limitations are not taught or suggested by the references. The applicant argues that Jixian fails to teach a method for obtaining human erythropoietin comprising culturing mammalian cells which express recombinant human erythropoietin in cell expansion culture medium and culturing the mammalian cells in culture medium consisting of DMEM, F12 medium, insulin and one or more additives selected from the voup consisting of NnHCO3, sugars, ethanoinmine, pynvate, amino acids and mixtures thereof. Koch fails to remedy the deficiencies of Jixian. The applicant argues that even though Koch teaches production of erythropoietin in a serum-free culture medium containing insulin by cultivating genetically engineered CHO cells the cited art teaches the production of recombinant protein in the presence of insulin and transferrin substitute which is not required by present invention.

Art Unit: 1633

Application/Control Number: 05/000,000

However, applicant's argument are found NOT persuasive for the same reasons of record as set forth above in 35 USC 102 (b) rejection. As stated above Jixian clearly teaches a culture media comprising DMEM and F12 (1:1) obtained from GIBCO-BRL containing the claimed media components NaHCO₃, sugars, ethanolamine sodium pyruvate and various amino acids (see Jixian page 2 sec 1.2 and GIBO-BRL Product reference Guide Cell Culture media Sec 1.1997-1998). Furthermore in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e. water soluble iron compound to substitute for transferrin) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). The rationale to modify or combine the prior art does not have to be expressly stated in the prior art; the rationale may be expressly or impliedly contained in the prior art or it may be reasoned from knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, established scientific principles, or legal precedent established by prior case law (See

Art Unit: 1633

MPEP 2144). In instant case as stated above it is well settled that routine optimization of insulin concentration in the culture media is not patentable, even if it results in significant improvements over the prior art. Thus the invention as claimed is *prima facie* obvious in view of combined teaching of cited prior art of record.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jixian et al (Bull Acad. Mil. Med. Sci. 21(4):244-246, 1997 as applied to claims 1-3 and 6 above, and further in view of Yanagi et al (DNA 8(6):419-427, 1989) and Chiba et al (US 3865801, 1975).

Claims 7-10 are drawn to a method for separating supernatant form cells, concentrating the supernatant approximately 50-150 folds and freezing concentrated product. In addition the instant claims are drawn to a method wherein media is added to cells from which the supernatant is separated and culturing the media fed cells.

Jixian et al teaches production of recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEPO) using CHO cells in a serum free media (SFM-p) in the presence of insulin (see abstract). Regarding claim 1-3 specifically the cited art teaches genetically engineered mammalian cells (CHO cells), which express recombinant human erythropoietin (page 2, para. 1.1). Regarding claim 6 the cited art teaches culturing of rHuEPO producing cells in a fetal calf serum-free media designated as SFM-p (page 2; page 4, para. 2.1; page 5 para. 2.2, table-3). The cited art further teaches that the SFM-p comprises various additives, which include Se, Ethanolamine, vitamins, peptone, insulin, transferin

Art Unit: 1633

and some cytokines added in DMEM and F12 medium (abstract, page 2, para. 1.2). In addition the cited art teaches that SFM-p promotes growth and proliferation of rHuEPO expressing CHO cells, which resulted in the production of rHuEPO in culture media. Regarding claim 7 (b) and 8 Jixian further teaches batch separation of culture media obtained from EPO producing CHO cells (page 5 table-3).

However, Jixian does not specifically teach the concentration of supernatant obtained from genetically engineered CHO cells containing EPO (approximately 50-150 fold). In addition Jixian does not teach freezing the concentrated product.

Yanagi et al teaches isolation of recombinant human erythropoietin produced by Namalwa cells (abstract). Regarding claim 7(c) and 9-10 the cited art teaches separation of EPO containing supernatant from EPO-producing 2A311 cells. The cited art further teaches concentration of EPO form the cell supernatant. The cited art teaches concentration of 2A311 media from 4 liters to 400 ml using an ultra filtration device. The cited art teaches further concentration of media obtained by ultra filtration using CM Affi-gel Blue column and a hydroxylapatite column. The purified preparation was then further concentrated by ultra-filtration followed by gel-permeation on TSK G3000SW columns (page 420, col.2 para. 4, page 422 table-1). The cited art teaches that such a purification procedures resulted in a purification factor that ranges from 17-5390 folds (page 422, table-1).

Chiba et al teaches a method of storing EPO for prolonged periods of time. Regarding claim 7 (d), the cited art teaches storing purified EPO preparation in the frozen state at –20°C (col. 7, lines 4-12).

Art Unit: 1633

Thus it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of filing to modify the invention of Jixian by employing purification strategy to concentrate EPO containing media as taught by Yanagi. One would have been motivated to do so because highly purified preparation of EPO is desirable product for clinical uses. In addition it would have be further obvious to store the purified EPO preparation in a frozen state in view of Chiba, since cyropreserved proteins have increases stability. One would have a reasonable expectation of success in doing so, since purification of recombinant proteins from the host cells and cyropreservation of purified protein was routine in the art at the time of filing. Thus the invention as claimed is *prima facie* obvious in view of cited prior art of record.

Response to arguments

The applicant argues that neither Yanagi nor Chiba remedy the deficiencies of Jixian, in that they, alone or in combination, fail to teach a method for obtaining human erythropoietin comprising culturing mammalian cells which express recombinant human erythropoietin in cell expansion culture medium and culturing the mammalian cells in culture medium consisting of DMEM, F12 medium, insulin and one or more additives selected from the group consisting of NaHCO3, sugars, ethanolnmine, pyruvate, amino acids and mixtures thereof. The applicant argues that the office fails it establish a prima facie case of obviousness.

However, applicant's argumetns are found NOT persuasive because as stated above Jixian clearly teaches a culture media comprising DMEM and F12 (1:1) obtained from GIBCO-BRL containing the claimed media components NaHCO₃, sugars,

Art Unit: 1633

ethanolamine sodium pyruvate and various amino acids (see Jixian page 2 sec 1.2 and GIBO-BRL Product reference Guide Cell Culture media Sec 1.1997-1998). In addition it is well settled that routine optimization is not patentable, even if it results in significant improvements over the prior art (supra). Thus it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of filing to modify the invention of Jixian by employing purification strategy to concentrate EPO containing media as taught by Yanagi. Therefore the invention as claimed is prima facie obvious in view of combined teaching of cited prior art of record.

Claims 7 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jixian et al (Bull Acad. Mil. Med. Sci. 21(4):244-246, 1997 as applied to claims 1-3 and 6 above, and Yanagi et al (DNA 8(6):419-427, 1989) and Chiba et al (US 3865801, 1975) as applied to claims 7-10 above and in further in view van Reis et al (US 5490937, 1996).

The instant claims are drawn to the method for obtaining human erythropoietin from a mammalian cell culture by concentrating the separated supernatant containing EPO using tangential filtration system through membranes with a molecular cut-off of about 3,000 Daltons. The claims are further drawn to a method sterile filtering the concentrated product through membranes with pores of dia meter of about 0.2 mm.

Jixian et al teaches production of recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEPO) using CHO cells in a serum free media (SFM-p) in the presence of insulin (see

Art Unit: 1633

abstract). Regarding claim 1-3 specifically the cited art teaches genetically engineered mammalian cells (CHO cells), which express recombinant human erythropoietin (page 2, para. 1.1). Regarding claim 6 the cited art teaches culturing of rHuEPO producing cells in a fetal calf serum-free media designated as SFM-p (page 2; page 4, para. 2.1; page 5 para. 2.2, table-3). The cited art further teaches that the SFM-p comprises various additives, which include Se, Ethanolamine, vitamins, peptone, insulin, transferin and some cytokines added in DMEM and F12 medium (abstract, page 2, para. 1.2). In addition the cited art teaches that SFM-p promotes growth and proliferation of rHuEPO expressing CHO cells, which resulted in the production of rHuEPO in culture media. Regarding claim 7 (b) and 8 Jixian further teaches batch separation of culture media obtained from EPO producing CHO cells (page 5 table-3).

Yanagi et al teaches isolation of recombinant human erythropoietin produced by Namalwa cells (abstract). Regarding claim 7(c) and 9-10 the cited art teaches separation of EPO containing supernatant from EPO-producing 2A311 cells. The cited art further teaches concentration of EPO form the cell supernatant. The cited art teaches concentration of 2A311 media from 4 liters to 400 ml using an ultra filtration device. The cited art teaches further concentration of media obtained by ultra filtration using CM Affi-gel Blue column and a hydroxylapatite column. The purified preparation was then further concentrated by ultra-filtration followed by gel-permeation on TSK G3000SW columns (page 420, col.2 para. 4, page 422 table-1). The cited art teaches that such a purification procedures resulted in a purification factor that ranges from 17-5390 folds (page 422, table-1).

Art Unit: 1633

Chiba et al teaches a method of storing EPO for prolonged periods of time.

Regarding claim 7 (d), the cited art teaches storing purified EPO preparation in the

frozen state at -20°C (col. 7, lines 4-12).

However, Jixian, Yanagi and Chiba do not teach purification of EPO from culture

media via a tangential filtration system and sterile filtration of concentrated product.

van Reis et al teaches a tangential flow filtration process and apparatus for separating species of interest (proteins) from a mixture. Regarding claim 11 the cited art teaches a tangential filtration system through filtration membranes having a pore size that separate species of interest having molecular weight of about 1 to 1000 kDa. The cited art further teaches that ultra filtration membranes for tangential-flow filtration are available as units of different configuration depending upon the volume of the liquid to be handled and variety of pore sizes. Regarding claim 12, the cited art further teaches filtration through micro porous membranes that has a pore size typically from 0.1 to 10 micrometers, which would inherently sterile the filtered product (col.12 lines 12-34). The cited art further teaches that use of tangential flow filtration system for higher fold purification of species of interest (col.4 lines 47-61).

Thus it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of filing to modify the invention of Jixian, Yanagi and Chiba by employing a purification strategy that involves a tangential filtration system and sterile filtration in view of van Reis. One would have been motivated to use tangential filtration system to accomplish large-scale resolution macromolecular mixtures obtained form cell culture media. One would have a reasonable expectation of success, since isolation of protein via tangential

Art Unit: 1633

flow filtration process was routine in the protein purification art at the time of filing. Thus the invention as claimed is *prima facie* obvious in view of cited prior art of record.

Response to arguments

The applicant argues that neither Jixian, Yanagi nor Chiba teach or suggest the presently. The applicant argues that Van Reis is directed to processes for separating compounds of interest from a mixture which comprises subjecting the mixture to tangential flow filtration where the filtration membrane has a specific pore size. Similar to the other cited references, Applicants submit that van Reis does not remedy the deficiencies of Jixian, in that it does not, alone or in combination with Yanagi or Clûba, teach the claimed method.

However, applicant's arguments are found NOT persuasive because Jixian clearly teaches a culture media comprising DMEM and F12 (1:1) obtained from GIBCO-BRL containing the claimed media components NaHCO₃, sugars, ethanolamine sodium pyruvate and various amino acids (see Jixian page 2 sec 1.2 and *GIBO-BRL Product reference Guide Cell Culture media Sec 1.1997-1998*). In addition it is well settled that routine optimization is not patentable, even if it results in significant improvements over the prior art (supra). In addition it is well settled that routine optimization is not patentable, even if it results in significant improvements over the prior art (supra). Thus it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of filing to modify the invention of Jixian, Yanagi and Chiba by employing a purification strategy that involves a tangential filtration system and sterile filtration in view of van Reis. Therefore

Art Unit: 1633

the invention as claimed is *prima facie* obvious in view of combined teaching of cited prior art of record.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0769. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. from 9AM-5PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-0731.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to **571-272-0547**. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Sumesh Kaushal Examiner GAU 1633

mueshleush!

SUMESH KAUSHAL PATENT EXAMINER